

| Evaluator name:   | Date of evaluation:  |                                   |                       |                     |  |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|--|
| Option name:  |  |                                   |                       |                     |  |
| What is the proposed  | option? (Please describe b   | elow):                            |                       |                     |  |
|   |  |                                   |                       |                     |  |
| means that there is no wand sustainability) that w  | uestion one to seven carries veighting, but there are three will require a minimum score | questions (que                    | •                     | •                   | y between each sub criteria. This and 6c on financial affordability                          |
| Section 1: Quality crite  | eria   |                                   |                       |                     |  |
| Effectiveness means that the best available eviden  | nce.   | nd support achi                   |                       |                     | ood quality of life and is based on  |
| important areas.  |  |                                   |                       | ,                   | , ,  |
| Evaluation considerati  | ions   |                                   | ator score<br>1,2,3,4 | a clear statem      | mmentary: Each score must have nent to explain why it was awarded x is awarded because       |
| better quality care/ou  | to people receiving equal or utcomes of care in line with                                | 0 - No evidend                    |                       | o.g. coord or 7     | tio awarasa sesages  |
| <ul><li>national standards a</li><li>Will this option result</li></ul>  | nd local or best practice? t in more effective   | 1 - Limited evi<br>2 - Adequate e |                       |                     |  |
| <ul><li>prevention?</li><li>Will this option reduction</li></ul>  | ce health inequalities?  | 3 - Good evide                    |                       |                     |  |
| Will this option accoupopulation size and of the size and | unt for future changes in the demographics?  | 4 - Exceptiona                    |                       |                     |  |
| <ul> <li>Will this option use technology and equipment to enhance the delivery of effective care and treatment and to support people's independence?</li> <li>Will this option provide consistent, coordinated, person-centred care and support when they use, or move between different services?</li> </ul>   |  |                                   |                       |                     |  |
| Question 1b How does  | this option deliver improv   | ed experience                     | ?                     |                     |  |
| Please refer to the promimportant areas.  | ppt questions below that the e   | evaluators will b                 | e using to aid th     | nem to score your a | nswers-it will allow you to highlight  |
| •   |  |                                   |                       |                     |  |
| Evaluation considerati  | ions   |                                   | ator score<br>1,2,3,4 | a clear statem      | mmentary: Each score must have<br>nent to explain why it was awarded<br>x is awarded because |
| <ul> <li>Will this option improve continuity of care for individuals (e.g. an individual only needs to tell their story once)?</li> <li>Will this option enable greater opportunity to link with voluntary/community sector health and</li> </ul>   | 0 - No evidend   | e                                 |                       |                     |  |
|   | 1 - Limited evi  | dence                             |                       |                     |  |
|   | 2 - Adequate 6   |                                   |                       |                     |  |
| <ul> <li>wellbeing services?</li> <li>Will this option provide care closer to home?</li> <li>Will this option improve personalised care?</li> <li>Will this option promote self-management?</li> </ul>  |  | 3 - Good evide                    |                       |                     |  |
|   |  | 4 - Exceptiona                    | I evidence            |                     |  |
| Question 1c How does this option deliver responsive services that address individual and population need?   |  |                                   |                       |                     |  |
| Please refer to the promimportant areas.  | npt questions below that the $\epsilon$  | evaluators will b                 | e using to aid th     | nem to score your a | nswers-it will allow you to highlight  |



| Evaluation considerations   | Evaluator score 0,1,2,3,4 | <b>Evaluator commentary:</b> Each score must have a clear statement to explain why it was awarded e.g. score of x is awarded because |
|---|---------------------------|--|
| Will this option meet an identified need for the area?  | 0 - No evidence           |  |
| <ul> <li>Will this option go some way/all the way to meet<br/>an agreed gap in need?</li> <li>Will the option reflect the needs of the</li> </ul> | 1 - Limited evidence      |  |
|   | 2 - Adequate evidence     |  |
| <ul><li>population?</li><li>Will the option ensure flexibility, choice and</li></ul>  | 3 - Good evidence         |  |
| continuity of care?   | 4 - Exceptional evidence  |  |
| <ul> <li>Will the option promote personalisation and a<br/>holistic response to care and support?</li> </ul>                                      |                           |  |
| Question 1d   |                           |  |

## How does this option deliver safe care?

Please note that this is an essential criteria and a minimum score is required.

Please refer to the prompt questions below that the evaluators will be using to aid them to score your answers-it will allow you to highlight important areas.

| Evaluation considerations  | Evaluator score<br>0,1,2,3,4         | <b>Evaluator commentary:</b> Each score must have a clear statement to explain why it was awarded e.g. score of x is awarded because |
|--|--------------------------------------|--|
| <ul> <li>Will this option protect people from abuse and<br/>avoidable harm? (Question 5b will cover</li> </ul>   | 0 - No evidence 1 - Limited evidence |  |
| <ul> <li>environmental safety)</li> <li>Will this option protect people from breaches of their dignity and respect?</li> <li>Consider both the safety of staff as well as people receiving/interacting with services.</li> </ul> |                                      |  |
|  | 2 - Adequate evidence                |  |
|  | 3 - Good evidence                    |  |
| -  | 4 - Exceptional evidence             |  |

### Section 2: Access criteria

## Question 2a How does this option improve patient choice?

Please refer to the prompt questions below that the evaluators will be using to aid them to score your answers-it will allow you to highlight important areas.

| Evaluation considerations  | Evaluator score 0,1,2,3,4 | <b>Evaluator commentary:</b> Each score must have a clear statement to explain why it was awarded e.g. score of x is awarded because |
|--|---------------------------|--|
| <ul><li>Will this option increase choice for people?</li><li>Will this option make it easier for people to</li></ul> | 0 - No evidence           |  |
| understand which services they can access when and where?  | 1 - Limited evidence      |  |
|  | 2 - Adequate evidence     |  |
|  | 3 - Good evidence         |  |
|  | 4 - Exceptional evidence  |  |

### Question 2b How does this option improve an individual's distance, cost and time required to access services?

Please refer to the prompt questions below that the evaluators will be using to aid them to score your answers-it will allow you to highlight important areas.

| Evaluation considerations  | Evaluator score 0,1,2,3,4 | <b>Evaluator commentary:</b> Each score must have a clear statement to explain why it was awarded e.g. score of x is awarded because |
|--|---------------------------|--|
| <ul> <li>Will this option increase/reduce travel time<br/>and/or cost for?</li> </ul>              | 0 - No evidence           |  |
| Will this option involve people travelling<br>more/less frequently or change the number of         | 1 - Limited evidence      |  |
| journeys to access intervention?   | 2 - Adequate evidence     |  |
| <ul> <li>Will this option reduce/increase peoples' waiting<br/>time to access services?</li> </ul> | 3 - Good evidence         |  |
| <ul> <li>Will this option support the use of new<br/>technology to improve access?</li> </ul>      | 4 - Exceptional evidence  |  |



### Question 2c How does this option improve equity of access?

Please refer to the prompt questions below that the evaluators will be using to aid them to score your answers-it will allow you to highlight important areas.

| Evaluation considerations  | Evaluator score 0,1,2,3,4   | <b>Evaluator commentary:</b> Each score must have a clear statement to explain why it was awarded e.g. score of x is awarded because |
|--|---|--|
| <ul> <li>Will this option promote equity of access regardless of individual circumstances?</li> <li>Will this option promote equity of provision and access?</li> <li>Will this option support equal access for equal clinical need?</li> <li>Will the option have any impact on services in other areas?</li> <li>Will this option ensure people with protected characteristics (age, disability, gender reassignment, marriage and civil partnership, pregnancy/maternity, race, religion/belief, sex, sexual orientation) are not disadvantaged/discriminated against?</li> </ul> | 0 - No evidence 1 - Limited evidence 2 - Adequate evidence 3 - Good evidence 4 - Exceptional evidence |  |

#### Question 2d How does this option provide extended access?

Please refer to the prompt questions below that the evaluators will be using to aid them to score your answers-it will allow you to highlight important areas.

| Evaluation considerations  | Evaluator score<br>0,1,2,3,4 | <b>Evaluator commentary:</b> Each score must have a clear statement to explain why it was awarded e.g. score of x is awarded because |
|--|------------------------------|--|
| <ul> <li>Will the option provide extended opening<br/>hours?</li> </ul>                  | 0 - No evidence              |  |
| <ul> <li>Will this option support care to be delivered<br/>seven days a week?</li> </ul> | 1 - Limited evidence         |  |
|  | 2 - Adequate evidence        |  |
|  | 3 - Good evidence            |  |
|  | 4 - Exceptional evidence     |  |

### Question 2e How does this option promote equity of provision?

Please refer to the prompt questions below that the evaluators will be using to aid them to score your answers-it will allow you to highlight important areas.

| Evaluation considerations  | Evaluator sc<br>0,1,2,3,4          | <b>Evaluator commentary:</b> Each score must have a clear statement to explain why it was awarded e.g. score of x is awarded because |
|--|------------------------------------|--|
| <ul> <li>Will people with the same health<br/>the same provision of service?</li> </ul>  | n care needs get 0 - No evidence   |  |
| Will this option ensure people with protected characteristics (age, disability, gender reassignment, marriage and civil partnership, pregnancy/maternity, race, religion/belief, sex, sexual orientation) are not disadvantaged/discriminated against? |                                    |  |
|  | il partnership,                    | е  |
|  | ion/belief, sex, 3 - Good evidence |  |
|  | ainst? 4 - Exceptional eviden      | nce  |

### Section 3: Workforce criteria

#### Question 3a How will this option address the challenges of the impact on workforce supply?

Please refer to the prompt questions below that the evaluators will be using to aid them to score your answers-it will allow you to highlight important areas.



| Evaluation considerations   | Evaluator score 0,1,2,3,4            | <b>Evaluator commentary:</b> Each score must have a clear statement to explain why it was awarded e.g. score of x is awarded because   |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|
| Will this option improve the recruitment of permanent staff with the right skills, values and                     | 0 - No evidence 1 - Limited evidence |  |
| competencies?   | 1 - Limited evidence                 |  |
| <ul> <li>Has any consideration been given to where the<br/>supply of workforce will be recruited from?</li> </ul> | 2 - Adequate evidence                |  |
| <ul> <li>Will this option recruit local workforce?</li> </ul>   | 3 - Good evidence                    |  |
| <ul> <li>Will there be any adverse impact on existing</li> </ul>  |                                      |  |
| services/recruitment?   | 4 - Exceptional evidence             |  |
| <ul><li>Is there a recruitment strategy or plan?</li></ul>  |                                      |  |
| <ul> <li>Is the staff travel, relocation or retraining</li> </ul>   |                                      |  |
| required for this option acceptable?  |                                      |  |
| Question 3b How will this option address the cha  | allenges of workforce upski          | illing?  |
| •   | •                                    |  |
| Please refer to the prompt questions below that the   | evaluators will be using to aid      | d them to score your answers-it will allow you to highlight  |
| important areas.  |                                      | in the second of |

| Evaluation considerations   | Evaluator score 0,1,2,3,4 | <b>Evaluator commentary:</b> Each score must have a clear statement to explain why it was awarded e.g. score of x is awarded because |
|---|---------------------------|--|
| Will this option link into local education and training to promote local long term workforce?   | 0 - No evidence           |  |
| <ul> <li>If this option utilises volunteers will they be trained and supported for the role they undertake?</li> <li>Is it possible to develop the skills base required in an acceptable time frame?</li> </ul> | 1 - Limited evidence      |  |
|   | 2 - Adequate evidence     |  |
|   | 3 - Good evidence         |  |
| ·   | 4 - Exceptional evidence  |  |

## Question 3c How will this option promote use of new ways of working and use of new roles?

Please refer to the prompt questions below that the evaluators will be using to aid them to score your answers-it will allow you to highlight important areas.

| Evaluation considerations   | Evaluator score<br>0,1,2,3,4 | <b>Evaluator commentary:</b> Each score must have a clear statement to explain why it was awarded e.g. score of x is awarded because |
|---|------------------------------|--|
| <ul> <li>Will this option provide adequate staffing levels<br/>and skill mix?</li> </ul>  | 0 - No evidence              |  |
| Will this option consider and build on existing   | 1 - Limited evidence         |  |
| community resources such as the voluntary and independent sector?   | 2 - Adequate evidence        |  |
| <ul> <li>Has this option considered new roles e.g.<br/>nursing associates?</li> </ul>   | 3 - Good evidence            |  |
| <ul> <li>Will this option increase multi-disciplinary/cross-<br/>organisational working and therefore promote<br/>integration?</li> </ul> | 4 - Exceptional evidence     |  |

#### Section 4: Deliverability criteria

## Question 4a How easy will this option be to deliver to an agreed timeframe?

Please refer to the prompt questions below that the evaluators will be using to aid them to score your answers-it will allow you to highlight important areas.

| Evaluation considerations  | Evaluator score 0,1,2,3,4            | <b>Evaluator commentary:</b> Each score must have a clear statement to explain why it was awarded e.g. score of x is awarded because |
|--|--------------------------------------|--|
| <ul> <li>Will this option rely on other models of<br/>care/provision being put in place and if so, are<br/>these deliverable within the necessary</li> </ul> | 0 - No evidence 1 - Limited evidence |  |
| <ul><li>timeframe?</li><li>Will the wider system be able to deliver on this change including the community and voluntary</li></ul>                           | 2 - Adequate evidence                |  |
| sector?  | 3 - Good evidence                    |  |



| • | Can the additional capacity requirements be delivered? Will it destabilize any other providers in a way that cannot be managed (e.g. cost, safety)?  It is viable within the capacity and capability of the workforce and facilities available? | 4 - Exceptional evidence |  |  |
|---|---|--------------------------|--|--|
|---|---|--------------------------|--|--|

Question 4b How does this option contribute to the sustainability of our health and care system?

Sustainability means whether the service or option being proposed can continue and maintain its proposed level of delivery over time? It also necessitates the improvement of individual outcomes with reduced cost of achieving them (considering financial, social and environmental return on investment). It includes adapting how we deliver services, health promotion, more prevention and developing more sustainable models of care.

Please refer to the prompt questions below that the evaluators will be using to aid them to score your answers-it will allow you to highlight important areas.

| Evaluation considerations  | Evaluator score<br>0,1,2,3,4         | <b>Evaluator commentary:</b> Each score must have a clear statement to explain why it was awarded e.g. score of x is awarded because |
|--|--------------------------------------|--|
| Will this option improve health outcomes for the local population so people are more independent and less reliant on healthcare? | 0 - No evidence 1 - Limited evidence |  |
| Will this option support avoidance of admission/readmission to hospital?   | 2 - Adequate evidence                |  |
| <ul> <li>Will the option have sufficient flexibility to<br/>respond to future health and care needs?</li> </ul>                  | 3 - Good evidence                    |  |
| <ul> <li>Will the option maximise use of technology<br/>where appropriate?</li> </ul>  | 4 - Exceptional evidence             |  |
| <ul> <li>Will the option promote out of hospital and<br/>community based care?</li> </ul>  |                                      |  |
| Will the option work with primary care and community services to protect, promote and improve the health of the local population |                                      |  |
| <ul> <li>Will this option support the local economy and<br/>development of healthy communities?</li> </ul>                       |                                      |  |

#### Section 5: Environmental criteria

Question 5a How does the option contribute to climate management? Climate management is an approach to climate-sensitive decision making. The approach seeks to promote sustainable development by reducing the vulnerability associated with climate risk. This involves strategies aimed at maximising positive and minimising negative outcomes for communities. Climate change could negatively impact the physical and mental health and wellbeing of the UK population. The health and care system needs to be prepared for different volumes and patterns of demand. Climate change could impact the operational delivery of the health and care system. The system infrastructure (e.g. buildings, communications, emergency service vehicles, models of care) and supply chain (e.g. fuel, food and care supplies) need to be prepared for and resilient to weather events and other crises.

Please refer to the prompt questions below that the evaluators will be using to aid them to score your answers - it will allow you to highlight important areas.

| Evaluation considerations   | Evaluator score 0,1,2,3,4 | <b>Evaluator commentary:</b> Each score must have a clear statement to explain why it was awarded e.g. score of x is awarded because |
|---|---------------------------|--|
| <ul> <li>Does the option provide flexibility in terms of<br/>responding to more extreme weather?</li> </ul> | 0 - No evidence           |  |
| Will the option sufficiently reduce carbon  | 1 - Limited evidence      |  |
| <ul><li>emissions through energy?</li><li>Will the option support the move towards a</li></ul>              | 2 - Adequate evidence     |  |
| <ul><li>carbon neutral environment?</li><li>If the option includes buildings based services,</li></ul>      | 3 - Good evidence         |  |
| are they used efficiently (i.e. heating/insulation, optimal/maximum utilisation)?                           | 4 - Exceptional evidence  |  |

Question 5b How does this option improve the environment for individuals to be cared and supported in?

Please refer to the prompt questions below that the evaluators will be using to aid them to score your answers - it will allow you to highlight important areas.



| Evaluation considerations  | Evaluator score 0,1,2,3,4   | <b>Evaluator commentary:</b> Each score must have a clear statement to explain why it was awarded e.g. score of x is awarded because |
|--|---|--|
| <ul> <li>Will this option improve the quality of environment in which care is provided?</li> <li>Will this option deliver services that are in a safe environment? (for staff and people accessing them)</li> <li>If the option includes buildings based provision, is there adequate parking for people accessing the services and staff?</li> <li>Will this option consider the benefits of the outdoor space and the broader environment?</li> <li>If the option includes buildings based provision, does the design, maintenance and use of facilities and premises keep people safe?</li> <li>If the option includes buildings based provision, are the facilities available sufficient to deliver</li> </ul> | 0 - No evidence 1 - Limited evidence 2 - Adequate evidence 3 - Good evidence 4 - Exceptional evidence |  |
| the level of care required? Section 6: Financial criteria  |   |  |

#### Section 6: Financial criteria

#### Question 6a How does the option provide value for money?

This includes both the effectiveness and efficiency of the option proposed.

Please refer to the prompt questions below that the evaluators will be using to aid them to score your answers - it will allow you to highlight important areas.

| Evaluation considerations   | Evaluator score<br>0,1,2,3,4 | <b>Evaluator commentary:</b> Each score must have a clear statement to explain why it was awarded e.g. score of x is awarded because |
|---|------------------------------|--|
| <ul> <li>Will this option provide value for money<br/>(consider effectiveness, efficiency, wider benefit</li> </ul>   | 0 - No evidence              |  |
| to the community etc.)?   | 1 - Limited evidence         |  |
| <ul> <li>Will this option support sufficient numbers of<br/>people for the funding requirements?</li> </ul>           | 2 - Adequate evidence        |  |
| <ul><li>Will this option support the local economy?</li><li>Will this option work in partnership with other</li></ul> | 3 - Good evidence            |  |
| providers such as the voluntary sector?   | 4 - Exceptional evidence     |  |

#### Question 6b How affordable is this option?

The term affordability covers both 'capital' funds (usually one off 'start-up' funds to buy land, equipment and buildings) and revenue funds (the ongoing funds required to deliver services e.g. staff and running costs). Capital costs usually have a degree of ongoing revenue costs attached to them. Please note that this is an essential criteria and a minimum score is required.

Please refer to the prompt questions below that the evaluators will be using to aid them to score your answers - it will allow you to highlight important areas.

| Evaluation considerations  | Evaluator score 0,1,2,3,4 | Evaluator commentary: Each score must have a clear statement to explain why it was awarded e.g. score of x is awarded because |
|--|---------------------------|---|
| <ul> <li>Will this option require capital (one off investment e.g. for buildings, equipment) from one or more partners in the system?</li> <li>Will this option require ongoing investment/revenue funding from one or more</li> </ul> | 0 - No evidence           |   |
|  | 1 - Limited evidence      |   |
|  | 2 - Adequate evidence     |   |
| organisations in the system?   | 3 - Good evidence         |   |
| <ul> <li>Can this option be funded within existing<br/>resources i.e. is this option deliverable without<br/>any additional cost impact to the wider<br/>healthcare system?</li> </ul>   | 4 - Exceptional evidence  |   |

### Question 6c Does this option provide evidence for being financially sustainable?

This requires the option to be affordable over a period of time rather than just for one - two years.

Please note that this is an essential criteria and a minimum score is required.

Please refer to the prompt questions below that the evaluators will be using to aid them to score your answers - it will allow you to highlight important areas.



| Evaluation considerations   | Evaluator score<br>0,1,2,3,4    | <b>Evaluator commentary:</b> Each score must have a clear statement to explain why it was awarded e.g. score of x is awarded because |
|---|---------------------------------|--|
| Does this option have a funding plan that will sustain long term funding (i.e. beyond three years)?   | 0 - No evidence                 |  |
|   | 1 - Limited evidence            |  |
| <ul> <li>If this option requires capital funding has the<br/>associated revenue funding also been</li> </ul>  | 2 - Adequate evidence           |  |
| identified?   | 3 - Good evidence               |  |
|   | 4 - Exceptional evidence        |  |
| Section 7: Wider impact   |                                 |  |
| Question 7a What potential impact (positive and   | negative) could the option h    | nave on the wider health and care system?  |
| Please refer to the prompt questions below that the entire highlight important areas.   | evaluators will be using to aid | them to score your answers - it will allow you to  |
| Evaluation considerations   | Evaluator score<br>0,1,2,3,4    | <b>Evaluator commentary:</b> Each score must have a clear statement to explain why it was awarded e.g. score of x is awarded because |
| Will the option support system benefits such as     world are required and improving above and  | 0 - No evidence                 |  |
| workforce recruitment and improving choice and health outcomes?   | 1 - Limited evidence            |  |
| <ul> <li>Will this option promote fair/proportionate<br/>allocation of funds against need?</li> </ul>   | 2 - Adequate evidence           |  |
| • Are there any consequences to the wider health and care system if this option has investment  | 3 - Good evidence               |  |
| requirements?   | 4 - Exceptional evidence        |  |
| <ul> <li>Will this option have a positive impact on<br/>reducing delayed transfers of care and reducing<br/>avoidable admissions?</li> </ul>  |                                 |  |
| Question 7b What potential impact (positive and   | negative) could the option h    | nave on the community?   |
| Please refer to the prompt questions below that the entire highlight important areas.   | evaluators will be using to aid | them to score your answers - it will allow you to  |
|   |                                 |  |
| Evaluation considerations   | Evaluator score<br>0,1,2,3,4    | <b>Evaluator commentary:</b> Each score must have a clear statement to explain why it was awarded e.g. score of x is awarded because |
| <ul> <li>Will the option support community benefits such as providing local employment and building resilience of services?</li> <li>Will this option have any impact on supporting thriving communities and providing for example</li> </ul> | 0 - No evidence                 |  |
|   | 1 - Limited evidence            |  |
|   | 2 - Adequate evidence           |  |
| a hub for co-location of health and care services?  | 3 - Good evidence               |  |
| JUI VIUUJ:  |                                 |  |